



### Interactive-Predictive Translation based on Multiple Word-Segments

### Miguel Domingo, Álvaro Peris, Francisco Casacuberta

midobal@prhlt.upv.es, lvapeab@prhlt.upv.es, fcn@prhlt.upv.es

Pattern Recognition and Human Language Technology Research Centre Universitat Politècnica de València

### EAMT 2016

Riga, May 30, 2016





### Outline

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Segment-Based IMT
- 3. Experiments
- 4. Conclusions





### Outline

#### 1. Motivation

- 2. Segment-Based IMT
- 3. Experiments
- 4. Conclusions





### Motivation

- Machine Translation (MT) is still not good enough.
- Alternative to classical post-editing: Interactive-Predictive MT (IMT).
- Prefix-based IMT (Barrachina et al., 2009) was an interesting contribution to the field.
- Cumbersome phenomenon: the non-validated part of the sentence contains correct words.





### Prefix-Based IMT

**Source:** Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez les patients atteints de cancer gastrique **Target translation:** And the issue has not been evaluated in gastric cancer patients







### source (x): Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez les patients atteints de cancer gastrique





# source (x): Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez les patients atteints de cancer gastrique

target translation  $(\hat{y})$ : And the issue has not been evaluated in gastric cancer patients

**0 S** And the issue has not been investigated among patients with gastric cancer





## source (x): Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez les patients atteints de cancer gastrique

0	S	And the issue has not been investigated among patients with gastric cancer	
	U	And the issue has not been evaluated among patients with gastric cancer	





## source (x): Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez les patients atteints de cancer gastrique

0	S	And the issue has not been investigated among patients with gastric cancer	
1	U	And the issue has not been <b>evaluated</b> among patients with gastric cancer	
1	S	And the issue has not been evaluated with patients	





## source (x): Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez les patients atteints de cancer gastrique

0	S	And the issue has not been investigated among patients with gastric cancer	
1	U	And the issue has not been <b>evaluated</b> among patients with gastric cancer	
	S	And the issue has not been evaluated with patients	
	U	And the issue has not been evaluated in patients	





# source (x): Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez les patients atteints de cancer gastrique

0	S	And the issue has not been investigated among patients with gastric cancer	
1	U	And the issue has not been <b>evaluated</b> among patients with gastric cancer	
	S	And the issue has not been evaluated with patients	
2	U	And the issue has not been evaluated in patients	
	S	And the issue has not been evaluated in patients	





# source (x): Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez les patients atteints de cancer gastrique

0	S	And the issue has not been investigated among patients with gastric cancer	
1	U	And the issue has not been <b>evaluated</b> among patients with gastric cancer	
	S	And the issue has not been evaluated with patients	
2	U	And the issue has not been evaluated in patients	
	S	And the issue has not been evaluated in patients	
	U	And the issue has not been evaluated in gastric	





# source (x): Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez les patients atteints de cancer gastrique

0	S	And the issue has not been investigated among patients with gastric cancer	
1	U	And the issue has not been evaluated among patients with gastric cancer	
	S	And the issue has not been evaluated with patients	
<u></u>	U	And the issue has not been evaluated in patients	
Z	S	And the issue has not been evaluated in patients	
2	U	And the issue has not been evaluated in gastric	
J	S	And the issue has not been evaluated in gastric cancer patients	





# source (x): Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez les patients atteints de cancer gastrique

0	S	And the issue has not been investigated among patients with gastric cancer	
1	U	And the issue has not been evaluated among patients with gastric cancer	
	S	And the issue has not been evaluated with patients	
<u></u>	U	And the issue has not been evaluated in patients	
Z	S	And the issue has not been evaluated in patients	
2	U	And the issue has not been evaluated in gastric	
J	S	And the issue has not been evaluated in gastric cancer patients	
Е	U	And the issue has not been evaluated in gastric cancer patients	





### Outline

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Segment-Based IMT
- 3. Experiments
- 4. Conclusions





### Segment-Based IMT

**Goal**: to develop a new IMT protocol which offers more freedom to the user, breaking down the prefix constraint.

- Select, remove, or replace segments of a translation hypothesis.
- New compatible hypothesis.

**Source:** Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez les patients atteints de cancer gastrique **Target translation:** And the issue has not been evaluated in gastric cancer patients







# source (x): Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez les patients atteints de cancer gastrique target translation (ŷ): And the issue has not been evaluated in gastric cancer patients





# source (x): Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez les patients atteints de cancer gastrique

0	S	And the issue has not been	investigated among	patients with gastric cancer
---	---	----------------------------	--------------------	------------------------------





## source (x): Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez les patients atteints de cancer gastrique

0	S	And the issue has not been investigated among patients with gastric cancer
	U	And the issue has not been investigated among patients with gastric cancer





## source (x): Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez les patients atteints de cancer gastrique

0	S	And the issue has not been investigated among patients with gastric cancer
	U	And the issue has not been investigated among patients with gastric cancer
		And the issue has not been evaluated among patients with gastric cancer





## source (x): Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez

les patients atteints de cancer gastrique

0	S	And the issue has not been investigated among patients with gastric cancer		
	υ	And the issue has not been investigated among patients with gastric cancer		
1		And the issue has not been evaluated among patients with gastric cancer		
	S	And the issue has not been evaluated in gastric cancer patients		





## source (x): Et la question n' a pas encore été évaluée chez les patients atteints de cancer gastrique

0	S	And the issue has not been investigated among patients with gastric cancer	
	U	And the issue has not been investigated among patients with gastric cancer	
1		And the issue has not been evaluated among patients with gastric cancer	
	S	And the issue has not been evaluated in gastric cancer patients	
Е	U	And the issue has not been evaluated in gastric cancer patients	





## Statistical Framework

SMT (Brown et al., 1993):

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{y}} = rg\max_{\mathbf{y}} Pr(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x})$$

**x**: source sentence. **y**: target sentence.

Prefix-based IMT (Barrachina et al., 2009):

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{s}} = rg\max_{\mathbf{s}} Pr(\mathbf{s} \mid \mathbf{x}, \widehat{\mathbf{p}})$$

 $\tilde{s}:$  suffix generated by the system.  $\qquad \hat{p}:$  validated prefix.

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \hat{\mathbf{p}}\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$$

Applying Bayes' rule:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{s}} = \arg \max_{\mathbf{s}} Pr(\hat{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{s} \mid \mathbf{x})$$

Search in the space of the translations, constrained by the prefix  $\hat{p}.$ 





### Statistical Framework

Segment-based IMT:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{1},\ldots,\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{N} = \mathop{\arg\max}_{\mathbf{h}_{1},\ldots,\mathbf{h}_{N}} Pr(\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{1}\mathbf{h}_{1},\ldots,\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{N}\mathbf{h}_{N} \mid \mathbf{x})$$

 $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{f}}_N$ : sequence of N segments validated by the user (feedback signal).  $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_N$ : sequence of new translation segments.

$$\tilde{\textbf{y}} = \hat{\textbf{f}}_1 \tilde{\textbf{h}}_1, \dots, \hat{\textbf{f}}_N \tilde{\textbf{h}}_N$$

Search in the space of the translation, constrained by the sequence of segments  $\hat{f}_1,\ldots,\hat{f}_N.$ 





### Outline

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Segment-Based IMT
- 3. Experiments
- 4. Conclusions





### Implementation

- Based on the Moses (Koehn et al., 2007) XML markup scheme.
- We force the translation of parts of a sentence into the decoder without changing the models.

And the issue has not been evaluated among patients with gastric cancer

<x translation = ''And the issue has not been''> Et la question n ' a pas encore été</x><wall/> <x translation = ''evaluated''> évaluée</x><wall/>chez les patients atteints de <x translation = ''gastric cancer''> cancer gastrique</x><wall/>

Example of a sentence in XML





### Implementation

An XML tag is created for each:

- Validated segment: the target words of the validated segment are linked to the corresponding source words\*.
- **Deleted word**: a white translation is linked to the corresponding source words<sup>\*</sup>.
- Corrected word: the new word is link to the corresponding source words\*.
- MT systems were trained with Moses standard configuration.

**Prefix-based IMT systems** were implemented using word graphs as described by Barrachina et al. (2009).

\*Corresponding source words are obtained with an alignment model.





### Corpora

**EMEA** (Tiedemann, 2009), **EU** (Barrachina et al., 2009), **TED** (Federico et al., 2011), and **Xerox** (Barrachina et al., 2009).

		EMEA	EU	TED	Xerox
		(Fr/En)	(Es/En)	(Zh/En)	(Es/En)
Train	sentences	1.1M	214K 106.9K		55.6K
	tokens	14.3M/17.0M	6M/5.4M	1.9M/2.1M	750K/665K
	vocabulary	71K/80K	84K/70K	55K/41.7K	16.8K/14K
Dev.	sentences	500	400	934	1012
	tokens	12K/10K	12K/10K	21.5K/20.1K	16K/14.4K
	vocabulary	2.9K/2.7K	3K/2.7K	3.8K/3.2K	1.8K/1.6K
Test	sentences	1K	800	1.6K	1.1K
	tokens	27K/21K	23K/20K	33.2K/31.9K	10.1K/8.4K
	vocabulary	4.5K/4.5K	4.7K/4.2K	4.5K/3.7K	2K/1.9K





## Metrics

Post-editing effort:

- Word Stroke Ratio (WSR) (Tomás and Casacuberta, 2006).
  - Correcting a word. (1 stroke.)
- Mouse Action Ratio (MAR) (Barrachina et al., 2009).
  - Correcting a word. (1 action.)
  - Validating a sentence. (1 action.)
  - Validating a segment. (2<sup>\*</sup> actions.)
  - Deleting words between segments. (2\* actions.)

Translation quality:

### • BiLingual Evaluation Understudy (BLEU) (Papineni et al., 2002)

\*1 action is enough for single word segments.





### Evaluation

- Evaluation was carried out on a simulated environment.
- Corpora references were used as the user's desired translations.
- We assumed that the user always corrected the left-most wrong word.





### Results

Prefix-based IMT in comparison with our segment-based proposal.

		BLEU	Prefix-Based		Segment-Based	
Corpus	Language		WSR	MAR	WSR	MAR
			(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
EMEA	Fr–En	31.3	57.8	12.4	34.4	18.8
	En–Fr	30.2	58.4	12.5	40.4	16.3
EU	Es–En	48.2	45.6	10.2	28.3	15.0
LU	En–Es	48.7	44.6	9.7	29.8	13.5
	Zh–En	11.7	83.1	22.4	54.1	28.3
	En–Zh	8.7	86.3	55.7	59.2	72.4
Xerox	Es–En	54.5	35.8	10.5	23.2	16.9
	En–Es	62.2	28.3	7.9	22.1	12.5





### Results

- Substantial reduction of the typing effort (up to 29 points of WSR).
- Slight increase in the number of mouse actions (from 4 up to 6.5 points of MAR).





### Outline

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Segment-Based IMT
- 3. Experiments
- 4. Conclusions





### Conclusions

- New IMT approach that breaks down the prefix constraint.
- The user can select all correct words from each translation hypothesis.
- Post-editing effort effectively reduced in a simulated environment.
- Future work: experiments with real users.





### References

- Barrachina, Sergio, Oliver Bender, Francisco Casacuberta, Jorge Civera, Elsa Cubel, Shahram Khadivi, Antonio Lagarda, Hermann Ney, Jesús Tomás, Enrique Vidal, and Juan-Miguel Vilar (2009). Statistical Approaches to Computer-Assisted Translation.
  In: Computational Linguistics 35, pp. 3–28.
- Brown, Peter F., Vincent J. Della Pietra, Stephen A. Della Pietra, and Robert L. Mercer(1993). The Mathematics of Statistical Machine Translation: Parameter Estimation. In: *Comput. Linguist. 19.2, pp. 263311.*
- Federico, Marcello, Luisa Bentivogli, Michael Paul, and Sebastian Stüker (2011). Overview of the IWSLT 2011 evaluation campaign. In: International Workshop on Spoken Language Translation, pp. 11–27.
- Koehn, Philipp, Hieu Hoang, Alexandra Birch, Chris Callison-Burch, Marcello Federico, Nicola Bertoldi, Brooke Cowan, Wade Shen, Christine Moran, Richard Zens, Chris Dyer, Ondřej Bojar, Alexandra Constantin, and Evan Herbst (2007). Moses: Open Source Toolkit for Statistical Machine Translation. In: Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pp. 177–180.
- Tiedemann, Jörg (2009). News from OPUS A Collection of Multilingual Parallel Corpora with Tools and Interfaces. In: Recent Advances in Natural Language Processing. Vol. V, pp. 237–248.
- Tomás, Jesús and Francisco Casacuberta (2006). Statistical Phrase-Based Models for Interactive Computer-Assisted Translation. In: *Proceedings of the Coling/ACL, pp.* 835–841.