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## Interactive Machine Translation

Goal: collaborative framework in which human and machine work together to produce the final high-quality translations.

## Interactive Machine Translation <br> Prefix-based interactive machine translation (IMT)
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MT fundamental equation:
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Interactive Machine Translation
Segment-based IMT: formalization

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{1}^{N}=\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{N}
$$

## User actions:

Segment validation: inserting a new segment $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{i}$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{1}^{N}$.
Words deletion: merging $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{i}, \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{i+1}$ into a new one.
Word correction: inserting a new one-word validated segment $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{i}$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{1}^{N}$.
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Translation segments generation:
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Suffix generation:

$$
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## Interactive Machine Translation <br> Segment-based IMT: implementation

Our proposal relies on the XML scheme of Moses decoder (Koehn et al., 2007).

Sentence to translate: La commission a constaté que les mesures relatives aux contrats temporaires inférieurs à deux ans.
$<\mathrm{x}$ translation="The commission" $>$ La commission $</ \mathrm{x}>$
$<x$ translation="finds" $>a$ constaté $</ \mathrm{x}>$
$<x$ translation="that the measures relating to contracts" >que les mesures relatives aux contrats $</ \mathrm{x}>$ temporaires inférieurs à <x translation="two years" >deux ans $</ \mathrm{x}>$

## Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT with active prediction

Given a source sentence $x_{1}^{J}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{J}$ and its translation hypothesis $y_{1}^{\prime}=y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}$, the confidence value of a word $y_{i}\left(c\left(y_{i}\right)\right)$ is given by:

$$
c\left(y_{i}\right)=\max _{1 \leq j \leq J} p\left(y_{i} \mid x_{j}\right)
$$

Lexicon probabilities given by IBM Model 1 (Brown et al., 1993) or hidden Markov alignment models (Vogel et al., 1996).

# Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: experimental framework 

## Corpora:

- EMEA. Medical domain. Fr-En, De-En. 1M segments.
- EU. Legal domain. Es-En, Fr-En. 200K/1M segments.
- TED. Public speeches. Zh-En, Es-En. 150K segments.
- Xerox. Technical domain. Fr-En, Es-En. 50K segments.
- Europarl. Legal domain. Fr-En, De-En. 2M segments.


# Interactive Machine Translation <br> Segment-based IMT: experimental framework 

## Metrics:

- Word Stroke Ration (WSR) (Tomás and Casacuberta, 2006).
- Mouse Action Ration (MAR) (Barrachina et al., 2009).
- Translation Error Rate (TER) (Snover et al., 2006).
- BiLingual Evaluation Understudy (BLEU) (Papineni et al., 2002).


# Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: experimental framework 

## User simulation:

- Prefix-based: hypothesis and reference comparison to detect the leftmost wrong word.
- Segment-based:
- Longest common subsequence (Apostolico and Guerra, 1987) between hypothesis and reference.
- Check if any pair of consecutive validated segments should be merged into a single segment.
- Hypothesis and reference comparison to detect the leftmost wrong word.

Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: evaluation

## Main approaches

| Corpus | Language | $\begin{gathered} \text { BLEU } \\ {[\uparrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | Prefix-based |  | Segment-based |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { WSR } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | $\begin{gathered} \text { WSR } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] |
| EMEA | De-En | 23.4 | 57.6 | 70.9 | 14.1 | 31.0 | 24.4 |
|  | En-De | 15.7 | 64.8 | 74.9 | 12.0 | 35.6 | 23.1 |
| EU | Es-En | 47.3 | 40.8 | 45.6 | 10.2 | 30.5 | 16.0 |
|  | En-Es | 47.9 | 41.1 | 44.6 | 9.7 | 31.9 | 14.8 |
| TED | Zh-En | 11.7 | 76.2 | 83.1 | 22.4 | 36.1 | 35.8 |
|  | En-Zh | 8.7 | 83.3 | 86.3 | 55.7 | 60.0 | 80.0 |
| Xerox | De-En | 32.2 | 54.6 | 62.7 | 15.1 | 29.2 | 26.9 |
|  | En-De | 24.1 | 64.5 | 68.3 | 12.6 | 32.7 | 23.6 |
| Europarl | De-En | 19.2 | 61.1 | 73.3 | 17.7 | 34.4 | 30.8 |
|  | En-De | 15.3 | 68.4 | 75.0 | 15.0 | 33.1 | 25.9 |

Interactive Machine Translation
Segment-based IMT: evaluation

## Active prediction

| Corpus | Language | Segment-based |  | Segment-based with active prediction |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{IBM}_{1}$ |  | HMM |  | Random |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { WSR } \\ {[\downarrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { WSR } \\ {[\downarrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { WSR } \\ {[\downarrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | WSR [ $\downarrow$ ] | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] |
| EMEA | De-En | 31.0 | 24.4 | 30.3 | 24.3 | 30.7 | 24.6 | 30.0 | 24.1 |
|  | En-De | 35.6 | 23.1 | 35.0 | 22.6 | 35.2 | 22.6 | 34.7 | 22.6 |
| EU | Es-En | 30.5 | 16.0 | 30.7 | 17.6 | 31.2 | 17.2 | 31.0 | 17.0 |
|  | En-Es | 31.9 | 14.8 | 31.2 | 16.7 | 31.6 | 16.0 | 31.7 | 15.8 |
| TED | Zh-En | 36.1 | 35.8 | 35.8 | 35.4 | 35.9 | 35.4 | 34.9 | 35.0 |
|  | En-Zh | 60.0 | 80.0 | 60.3 | 85.5 | 60.9 | 83.3 | 60.9 | 81.8 |
| Xerox | De-En | 29.2 | 26.9 | 29.3 | 26.7 | 29.2 | 26.6 | 29.0 | 26.5 |
|  | En-De | 32.7 | 23.6 | 32.1 | 22.6 | 32.3 | 22.5 | 32.0 | 22.7 |
| Europarl | De-En | 34.4 | 30.8 | 34.3 | 30.7 | 34.5 | 30.7 | 33.6 | 30.2 |
|  | En-De | 33.1 | 25.9 | 32.6 | 25.4 | 32.6 | 25.4 | 32.1 | 25.3 |

## Interactive Machine Translation Neural IMT (INMT) vs IMT

|  |  | Prefix-based |  |  |  |  |  | Segment-based |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{INMT}_{\text {RNN }}$ |  | INMT ${ }_{\text {Trans. }}$ |  | IMT |  | $\mathrm{INMT}_{\text {RNN }}$ |  | INMT $_{\text {Trans. }}$ |  | IMT |  |
|  |  | WSR [ $\downarrow$ ] | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | WSR [ $\downarrow$ ] | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | WSR [ $\downarrow$ ] | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | $\begin{gathered} \text { WSR } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | WSR [ $\downarrow$ ] | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | WSR [ $\downarrow$ ] | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] |
| TED | $\mathrm{Zh}-\mathrm{En}$ | 54.9 | 14.2 | 60.1 | 14.3 | 83.1 | 22.4 | 51.2 | 21.2 | 49.2 | 20.4 | 36.1 | 35.8 |
|  | En-Zh | 68.1 | 28.9 | 66.7 | 29.6 | 86.3 | 55.7 | 58.4 | 64.2 | 56.6 | 62.5 | 60.0 | 80.0 |
| Xerox | De-En | 38.4 | 9.4 | 42.2 | 10.0 | 62.7 | 15.1 | 35.1 | 13.3 | 39.9 | 14.1 | 29.2 | 26.9 |
|  | En-De | 55.1 | 10.8 | 56.5 | 11.2 | 68.3 | 12.6 | 50.9 | 14.9 | 54.7 | 16.0 | 32.7 | 23.6 |
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## Language Modernization

Goal: make historical documents more accessible to a general audience.

## Original

To be, or not to be? That is the question Whether tis nobler in the mind to suffer The slings and arrows of outrageous fortune, Or to take arms against a sea of troubles, And, by opposing, end them?

Modernized
The question is: is it better to be alive or dead? Is it nobler to put up with all the nasty things that luck throws your way, or to fight against all those troubles
by simply putting an end to them once and for all?

## Language Modernization

## Approaches:

- Statistical machine translation (SMT).
- Neural machine translation (NMT).
- Recurrent neural networks with long short-term memory units (LSTM).
- Transformer.
- NMT enriched with modern documents.
- Synthetic data generated through backtranslation.


## Language Modernization Experimental framework

Corpora:

- Dutch Bible ( $17^{\text {th }}$ century Dutch; 30K segments).
- El Quijote (17 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ century Spanish; 10K segments).
- OE-ME ( $11^{\text {th }}$ century English; 3 K segments).


## Metrics:

- TER.
- BLEU.


## Language Modernization Experimental framework

## Evaluation:

- Automatic metrics.
- Human evaluation.
- Scholars (4 Scholars specialized in classic Spanish literature).
- Non-experts (42 participants).


## Language Modernization

## Evaluation: automatic metrics

| Approach | Dutch Bible |  | El Quijote |  | OE-ME |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TER [ $\downarrow$ ] | BLEU [个] | TER [ $\downarrow$ ] | BLEU [ $\uparrow$ ] | TER [ $\downarrow$ ] | BLEU [ $\uparrow$ ] |
| Baseline | 57.9 | 12.9 | 44.2 | 36.3 | 91.0 | 2.8 |
| SMT | 11.5 | 77.5 | $30.7{ }^{\dagger}$ | $58.3{ }^{\dagger}$ | $39.6{ }^{\dagger}$ | $39.6{ }^{\dagger}$ |
| $\mathrm{NMT}_{\text {LSTM }}$ | 13.8 | 79.6 | 55.1 | 39.8 | 82.7 | 12.8 |
| NMT ${ }_{\text {Transformer }}$ | $11.1{ }^{\dagger}$ | $81.7{ }^{\dagger}$ | 38.4 | 49.3 | 54.7 | 27.3 |
| Enriched $\mathrm{NMT}_{\text {LSTM }}$ | $11.1{ }^{\dagger}$ | $80.6{ }^{\dagger}$ | $31.9{ }^{\dagger}$ | $57.3{ }^{\dagger}$ | $44.3{ }^{\dagger}$ | $35.9{ }^{\dagger}$ |
| Enriched $\mathrm{NMT}_{\text {Transformer }}$ | 18.2 | 70.6 | 36.7 | 51.0 | 47.2 | 31.0 |

All results are significantly different between all approaches except those denoted with ${ }^{\dagger}$.

## Language Modernization

## Evaluation: scholars

- Fluency: how fluid does the modernized sentence sound?
- Lexical meaning: how correct is the lexicon of the modernized sentence?
- Syntax: how correct is the syntactic construction of the modernized sentence?
- Semantic: is the meaning of the original sentence preserved in the modernized sentence?
- 1: the meaning is lost.
- 2: a great part of the meaning is lost.
- 3: half the meaning is lost.
- 4: part of the meaning is lost.
- 5: the meaning remains.
- Modernization: how appropriate is the modernization?


## Language Modernization

## Evaluation: scholars

|  | Fluency | Lexical meaning | Syntax | Semantic | Modernization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMT | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
| En. $\mathrm{NMT}_{\text {LSTM }}$ | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
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## Language Modernization

## Evaluation: non-experts



Age distribution.
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Familiarity with El Quijote.

## Language Modernization

## Evaluation: non-experts

|  | Original | Modernized | Indifferent | Not equal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMT | 3.2 | 61.4 | 27.6 | 7.8 |
| NMT | 6.4 | 50.9 | 22.3 | 20.3 |

Percentage of cases in which the users selected that option.

## Spelling Normalization

Goal: achieve an orthography consistency by adapting a document's spelling to modern standards.

## Spelling Normalization

Goal: achieve an orthography consistency by adapting a document's spelling to modern standards.

## Original

"Nunca fuera cauallero de damas tambien seruido, como fuera don Quixote quando de su aldea vino: donzellas curauan del, princesas del su rozino."

Normalized
"Nunca fuera caballero de damas tan bien servido, como fuera don Quijote cuando de su aldea vino: doncellas curaban de él, princesas del su rocino."

## Spelling Normalization

## Approaches:

- Statistical dictionary (SD).
- SMT.
- NMT.
- LSTM.
- Transformer.
- Character-based (CB) SMT.
- CBNMT.
- CBNMT.
- SubChar (Subwords-Characters).
- CharSub (Characters-Subwords).
- CBNMT enriched with modern documents.
- Synthetic data generated through backtranslation.


## Spelling Normalization <br> Experimental framework

## Corpora:

- Entremeses y Comedias ( $17^{\text {th }}$ century Spanish; 35K segments).
- Quijote ( $17^{\text {th }}$ century Spanish; 48 K segments).
- Bohorič ( $18^{\text {th }}$ century Slovene; 4 K segments).
- Gaj (19 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ century Slovene; 13 K segments).


## Metrics:

- Character Error Rate (CER).
- TER.
- BLEU.


## Spelling Normalization <br> Main approaches

| System | Quijote |  |  | Bohoric |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | BLEU <br> [ $\uparrow$ ] | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | BLEU <br> [ $\uparrow$ |
| Baseline | 7.9 | 19.5 | 59.4 | 21.7 | 49.0 | 18.0 |
| SD | 3.9 | 5.5 | 89.3 | 16.2 | 20.7 | 56.1 |
| CBSMT | $2.5{ }^{\dagger}$ | $3.0{ }^{\dagger}$ | $94.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | 2.4 | 8.7 | 80.4 |
| CBNMT ${ }_{\text {LSTM }}$ | 2.7 | $4.3{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $93.3{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 29.4 | 39.5 | 48.7 |
| En. $\mathrm{CBNMT}_{\text {LSTM }}$ | $2.2{ }^{\dagger}$ | $4.0^{\ddagger}$ | $93.2{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 28.6 | 38.3 | 49.5 |
| CBNMT ${ }_{\text {Trans. }}$ | $1.9{ }^{\dagger}$ | $3.3{ }^{\dagger}$ | $93.9{ }^{\dagger}$ | $26.2^{\dagger}$ | $30.6{ }^{\dagger}$ | $60.0^{\dagger}$ |
| En. $\mathrm{CBNM}_{\text {Trans. }}$ | $2.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | 5.1 | 89.7 | $25.7{ }^{\dagger}$ | $29.8{ }^{\dagger}$ | $60.8^{\dagger}$ |

All results are significantly different between all approaches except those denoted with ${ }^{\dagger}$ and ${ }^{\ddagger}$ (respectively).

## Spelling Normalization Additional CBNMT approaches

| System | Quijote |  |  | Bohorič |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BLEU} \\ {[\uparrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BLEU } \\ {[\uparrow]} \end{gathered}$ |
| En. CBNMT $_{\text {LSTM }}$ | $2.2{ }^{\dagger}$ | $4.0 \dagger$ | $93.2{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 28.6 | 38.3 | 49.5 |
| En. SubChar ${ }_{\text {LSTM }}$ | $2.3{ }^{\dagger}$ | $3.3{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $94.9{ }^{\dagger}$ | $29.5{ }^{\dagger}$ | 36.9 | 51.5 |
| En. CharSub LSTM | $2.3{ }^{\dagger}$ | $4.1{ }^{\dagger}$ | 93.0٪ | 27.5* | $39.6{ }^{\dagger}$ | 47.2 |
| En. $\mathrm{CBNMT}_{\text {Trans. }}$ | $2.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | 5.1 | 89.7 | 25.7 | $29.8{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $60.8{ }^{\dagger}$ |
| En. SubChar ${ }_{\text {Trans }}$. | $2.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | 3.2 | $94.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | 27.3* | 31.8 | 57.8 |
| En. CharSub Trans. $^{\text {a }}$ | $2.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | $3.5{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 93.9 ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | 8.8 | 11.5 | 79.3 |

All results are significantly different between all approaches except those denoted with ${ }^{\dagger}, \ddagger$ and * (respectively).

## Outline

1. Interactive Machine Translation. (Chapter 3.)
2. Historical Document Processing. (Chapters 4 and 5.)
3. IMT for the Processing of Historical Documents. (Chapter 6.)
4. Conclusions
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## Language Modernization

Goal: Help scholars to generate error-free modernizations.
Approaches:

- SMT.
- Enriched NMT.
- LSTM.
- Transformer.

Online demonstrator: http://demosmt.prhlt.upv.es/mthd/.

## Language Modernization

## Experimental framework

## Corpora:

- Dutch Bible ( $17^{\text {th }}$ century Dutch).
- El Quijote ( $17^{\text {th }}$ century Spanish).
- OE-ME ( $11^{\text {th }}$ century English).


## Metrics:

- WSR.
- MAR.
- TER.
- BLEU.


## Language Modernization

## Evaluation

| Corpus | Approach | Modernization quality |  | Prefix-based |  | Segment-based |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BLEU } \\ {[\uparrow]} \end{gathered}$ | WSR <br> [ $\downarrow$ ] | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | WSR <br> [ $\downarrow$ ] | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] |
| El Quijote | SMT | 30.7 | 58.3 | 38.8 | 10.9 | 22.0 | 19.7 |
|  | En. $\mathrm{NMT}_{\text {LSTM }}$ | 42.9 | 50.4 | $68.9{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 11.8 | $68.9{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 47.8 |
|  | En. $\mathrm{NMT}_{\text {Transformer }}$ | 47.3 | 46.1 | $73.2{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 13.4 | $73.2{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 50.5 |
| OE-ME | SMT | 39.6 | 39.6 | 58.2 | 15.5 | 28.2 | 26.1 |
|  | En. $\mathrm{NMT}_{\text {LSTM }}$ | 56.4 | 30.3 | $72.1{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $12.8{ }^{\dagger}$ | $72.1{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 59.5 |
|  | En. $\mathrm{NMT}_{\text {Transformer }}$ | 58.9 | 28.2 | $73.5{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $13.3{ }^{\dagger}$ | $73.5{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 49.5 |

All results are significantly different between all approaches except those denoted with ${ }^{\dagger}$ and ${ }^{\ddagger}$ (respectively).
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## Spelling Normalization

Goal: Help scholars to generate error-free normalizations.
Approaches:

- CBSMT.
- Enriched CBNMT.
- LSTM.
- Transformer.

Online demonstrator: http://demosmt.prhlt.upv.es/mthd/.

## Spelling Normalization <br> Experimental framework

## Corpora:

- Entremeses y Comedias ( $17^{\text {th }}$ century Spanish).
- Quijote ( $17^{\text {th }}$ century Spanish).
- Bohorič ( $18^{\text {th }}$ century Slovene).
- Gaj (19 th century Slovene).


## Metrics:

- Key Stroke Ratio (KSR) (Tomás and Casacuberta, 2006).
- MAR.
- CER.
- TER.
- BLEU.


## Spelling Normalization Evaluation

| Corpus | Approach | Normalization quality |  |  | Prefix-based |  | Segment-based |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BLEU } \\ {[\uparrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\mathrm{KSR}} \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\mathrm{KSR}} \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | MAR <br> [ $\downarrow$ ] |
| Entremeses | CBSMT | $1.3{ }^{\dagger}$ | 4.4 | 91.7 | $0.9{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 4.1 | $0.7{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 6.7 |
| y | En. CBNMT $_{\text {LSTM }}$ | 3.5 | 9.4 | 84.9 | 1.9 | $2.1{ }^{\dagger}$ | $1.9{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 3.3 |
| Comedias | En. $\mathrm{CBNMT}_{\text {Transformer }}$ | $1.5{ }^{\dagger}$ | 6.5 | 87.2 | $1.4{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $2.1{ }^{\dagger}$ | $1.4{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 3.4 |
| Quijote | CBSMT | $2.5{ }^{\dagger}$ | $3.0^{\dagger}$ | $94.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | $1.4{ }^{\dagger \ddagger}$ | 3.7 | $1.1{ }^{\text {¢! }}$ | 5.3 |
|  | En. CBNMT $_{\text {LSTM }}$ | $2.6{ }^{\dagger}$ | 4.3 | $93.9{ }^{\dagger}$ | $1.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | $1.4^{\dagger \ddagger}$ | $1.4^{\text {¢ }}$ | 2.1 |
|  | En. CBNMT $_{\text {Transformer }}$ | $2.2{ }^{\dagger}$ | $3.7^{\dagger}$ | $94.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | $1.5{ }^{\dagger \ddagger}$ | $1.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | $1.5{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 2.1 |

All results are significantly different between all approaches except those denoted with ${ }^{\dagger}$ and ${ }^{\ddagger}$ (respectively).

## Outline

1. Interactive Machine Translation. (Chapter 3.)
2. Historical Document Processing. (Chapters 4 and 5.)
3. IMT for the Processing of Historical Documents. (Chapter 6.)
4. Conclusions

## Scientific contributions

## IMT:

- Developed a new protocol to allow the user to validate the correct parts of a translation hypothesis.
- Wide experimentation that showcases a substantial decrease of the typing effort.
- Tested an active prediction protocol to assist the user in the correction step.
- Applied IMT to two task related with the processing of historical documents.


## Scientific contributions

## Language modernization:

- Proposed several modernization approaches based on SMT and NMT.
- Conducted a wide experimentation, which counted with the help of 4 scholars and 42 volunteers.


## Spelling normalization:

- Proposed several normalization approaches based on SMT, NMT, CBSMT and CBNMT.
- Evaluated our approaches using different datasets from different time periods and languages.


## Publications derived from the thesis

- Modernizing historical documents: A user study. PRL. JCR Q2.
- Interactive neural machine translation. CSL. Second author; JCR Q2.
- Segment-based interactive-predictive machine translation. MTJ. Peer-reviewed journal.
- The CLIN27 shared task: Translating historical text to contemporary language for improving automatic linguistic annotation. CLIN. Alphabetical order; Peer-reviewed journal.
- Two demonstrations of the machine translation applications to historical documents. ICPR. CORE B.
- Spelling normalization of historical documents by using a machine translation approach. EAMT. CORE B.


## Publications derived from the thesis

- Historical documents modernization. EAMT. CORE B.
- Interactive-predictive translation based on multiple word-segments. EAMT. CORE B. Best paper award.
- A machine translation approach for modernizing historical documents using back translation. IWSLT. Peer-reviewed workshop.
- A comparison of character-based neural machine translations techniques applied to spelling normalization. PatReCH. Peer-reviewed workshop.
- Enriching character-based neural machine translation with modern documents for achieving an orthography consistency in historical documents. PatReCH. Peer-reviewed workshop.


## Other publications

- How much does tokenization affect neural machine translation? CICLing. CORE B.
- A user study of the incremental learning in NMT. EAMT. CORE B.
- Demonstration of a neural machine translation system with online learning for translators. ACL. CORE A+.
- Incremental adaptation of NMT for professional post-editors: A user study. MT Summit. CORE B.


## Future work

## IMT:

- Improve how the system deals with user corrections.
- Develop new protocols to assist the user in the validation step.


## Language modernization:

- Tackle the main problems that were pointed out during the evaluation: punctuation, diacritical marks, etc.


## Spelling normalization:

- Better profit from modern documents to enrich the systems.
- Human evaluation.
- Try new neural architectures.
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# Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: XML generation 

## User actions:

Segment validation: for each validated segment, align the target words with the source words (phrase alignments).

Words deletion: merge the segments into a single tag.
Word correction: compute alignment probability (using hidden Markov alignment models ${ }^{1}$ ) between new word and all non-validated source words.

[^0]
## Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: XML generation

## Segment reorders



XML: <x translation="Nothing about the inequalities between income" > Rien sur les inégalités entre revenus $\langle/ \mathrm{x}\rangle<$ wall/> <x translation="from" $>$ du $</ \mathrm{x}><$ wall/> travail $<\mathrm{x}$ translation="and" $>$ et $</ \mathrm{x}><$ wall/> du <x translation="capital" >capital</x><wall/>

Translation: Nothing about inequalities between income from work and capital

## Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: XML generation

## Segment reorders



XML: Il est difficile de comparer les
<x translation="Published">études épidémiologiques sur ALI et SDRA $</ \mathrm{x}><$ wall/ $><\mathrm{x}$ translation= "epidemiological studies on ALI and ARDS" $>$ publiées $</ \mathrm{x}><$ wall/> $<\mathrm{x}$ translation="in the last 20 years" >dans les dernières 20 années</x><wall/>

Translation: It is difficult to compare the Published epidemiological studies on ALI and ARDS in the last 20 years

# Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: XML generation 

## Non-consecutive corresponding sources



XML: $<x$ translation="Kimi" $>$ What $</ \mathrm{x}><\mathrm{x}$ translation="wa" $>$ is $</ \mathrm{x}>$ your $<x$ translation="namae?" $>$ name? $</ \mathrm{x}>$

Translation: Kimi wa no namae?

## Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: XML generation

## Non-consecutive corresponding sources



```
XML: <x translation="Kimi" \(>\) What \(</ \mathrm{x}><\mathrm{x}\) translation="wa" \(>\) is \(</ \mathrm{x}>\)
your <x translation="namae?" >name? </x>
```

Translation: Kimi wa no namae?
Solution:

```
XML: <x translation="Kimi" >What </x> <x translation="namae
wa?" >is</x> your <x translation="'" >name?</x>
```

Translation: Kimi no namae wa?

# Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: XML generation 

## Words without corresponding source segment



XML: <x translation="Patients are" >Les patients sont</x> classifiés selon la $<x$ translation="presence of" $>$ présence $d u</ x>$ lymphoedème $<x$ translation="stratified" $>.</ \mathrm{x}>$

Translation: Patients are classifiés stratified by the presence of lymphoedema

# Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: XML generation 

## Spurious words



Hypothesis: All subjects will be followed through the course of a 12-month 12 months follow-up visit

User feedback: All subjects will be followed through the 12-month follow-up visit

# Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: XML generation 

## Spurious words



Hypothesis: Dysphagia is associated with an
increased risk of aspiration pneumonia, dehydration and malnutrition of of of
User feedback: Dysphagia is associated with an
increased risk of aspiration pneumonia, dehydration and malnutrition \#
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## Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: experimental framework

Reference: If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests Hypothesis: If you have been exposed, you should consult go your doctor for tests

Segment validation: If you have been exposed, you should consult go your doctor for tests Mouse actions: $2+1+2=5$

Words deletion: If you have been exposed, you should consult go your doctor for tests Mouse actions: 1

Word correction: If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests
Mouse actions: 1
Word strokes: 1

Total mouse actions: 7
Total word strokes: 1

Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: evaluation

## Main approaches

| Corpus | Language | $\begin{gathered} \text { BLEU } \\ {[\uparrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | Prefix-based |  | Segment-based |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { WSR } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | WSR [ $\downarrow$ ] | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] |
| EMEA | Fr -En | 30.5 | 48.6 | 57.8 | 12.4 | 33.6 | 21.6 |
|  | $\mathrm{En}-\mathrm{Fr}$ | 29.8 | 52.6 | 58.4 | 12.5 | 41.7 | 21.7 |
|  | De-En | 23.4 | 57.6 | 70.9 | 14.1 | 31.0 | 24.4 |
|  | En-De | 15.7 | 64.8 | 74.9 | 12.0 | 35.6 | 23.1 |
| EU | Es-En | 47.3 | 40.8 | 45.6 | 10.2 | 30.5 | 16.0 |
|  | En-Es | 47.9 | 41.1 | 44.6 | 9.7 | 31.9 | 14.8 |
|  | Fr -En | 52.1 | 36.2 | 37.3 | 7.5 | 26.3 | 14.4 |
|  | $\mathrm{En}-\mathrm{Fr}$ | 51.3 | 38.6 | 38.8 | 7.3 | 29.4 | 12.8 |
| TED | Zh-En | 11.7 | 76.2 | 83.1 | 22.4 | 36.1 | 35.8 |
|  | En-Zh | 8.7 | 83.3 | 86.3 | 55.7 | 60.0 | 80.0 |
|  | Es-En | 36.5 | 42.7 | 51.1 | 12.9 | 31.7 | 22.9 |
|  | En-Es | 31.3 | 47.7 | 53.2 | 12.3 | 36.7 | 22.8 |
| Xerox | Es-En | 52.2 | 31.8 | 35.8 | 10.5 | 20.0 | 20.4 |
|  | En-Es | 60.8 | 27.3 | 28.3 | 7.9 | 21.9 | 14.3 |
|  | De-En | 32.2 | 54.6 | 62.7 | 15.1 | 29.2 | 26.9 |
|  | En-De | 24.1 | 64.5 | 68.3 | 12.6 | 32.7 | 23.6 |
| Europarl | Fr -En | 26.5 | 51.4 | 58.7 | 13.9 | 30.2 | 30.3 |
|  | $\mathrm{En}-\mathrm{Fr}$ | 26.5 | 55.6 | 61.4 | 13.5 | 31.5 | 28.4 |
|  | De-En | 19.2 | 61.1 | 73.3 | 17.7 | 34.4 | 30.8 |
|  | En-De | 15.3 | 68.4 | 75.0 | 15.0 | 33.1 | 25.9 |
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# Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: evaluation 

source (x): Si vous avez été exposé, vous devriez consulter votre médecin pour des tests target translation ( $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ ): If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests

| IT-0 | MT | If you have been exposed, you should consult your doctor for tests |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IT-1 | User | If you have been exposed, you should go your doctor for tests |
|  | MT | If you have been exposed, you should go consult your doctor for tests |

## Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: evaluation

source (x): Si vous avez été exposé , vous devriez consulter votre médecin pour des tests target translation (y): If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests

| IT-0 | MT | If you have been exposed, you should consult your doctor for tests |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IT-1 | User | If you have been exposed, you should go your doctor for tests |
|  | MT | If you have been exposed, you should go consult your doctor for tests |
| IT-2 | User | If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests |
|  | MT | If you have been exposed, you should go to consult your doctor for tests |

## Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: evaluation

source (x): Si vous avez été exposé , vous devriez consulter votre médecin pour des tests target translation ( $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ ): If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests

| IT-0 | MT | If |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IT-1 | User <br> MT | If you have been exposed, you should go your doctor for tests If you have been exposed, you should go consult your doctor for tests |
| IT-2 | User <br> MT | If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests If you have been exposed, you should go to consult your doctor for tests |
| IT | User MT | If you have been exposed, you should go to your your doctor for tests If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests |

## Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: evaluation

source (x): Si vous avez été exposé , vous devriez consulter votre médecin pour des tests target translation ( $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ ): If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests

| IT-0 | MT | If you have been exposed, you should consult your doctor for tests |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| IT-1 | User | If you have been exposed, you should go your doctor for tests |
|  | MT | If you have been exposed, you should go consult your doctor for tests |
| IT-2 | User | If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests |
|  | MT | If you have been exposed, you should go to consult your doctor for tests |
| IT-3 | User | If you have been exposed, you should go to your your doctor for tests |
|  | MT | If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests |
| END | User | If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests |

# Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: evaluation 

source (x): Si vous avez été exposé , vous devriez consulter votre médecin pour des tests target translation ( $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ ): If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests

# Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: evaluation 

source (x): Si vous avez été exposé , vous devriez consulter votre médecin pour des tests target translation ( $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ ): If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests | IT-0 | MT | If you have been exposed, you should consult your doctor for tests |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

# Interactive Machine Translation Segment－based IMT：evaluation 

source（x）：Si vous avez été exposé，vous devriez consulter votre médecin pour des tests target translation（⿳⺈⿵人一口⿻上丨 ：If you have been exposed，you should go to your doctor for tests

| IT－0 | MT | If you have been exposed，you should consult your doctor for tests |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IT－1 | User | If you have been exposed，you should go your doctor for tests |
|  | MT | If you have been exposed，you should consult go your doctor for tests |

## Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: evaluation

source (x): Si vous avez été exposé , vous devriez consulter votre médecin pour des tests target translation (y): If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests

| IT-0 | MT | If you have been exposed, you should consult your doctor for tests |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IT-1 | User | If you have been exposed, you should go your doctor for tests |
|  | MT | If you have been exposed, you should consult go your doctor for tests |
| IT-2 | User | If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests |
|  | MT | If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests |

## Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: evaluation

source (x): Si vous avez été exposé , vous devriez consulter votre médecin pour des tests target translation (y): If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests

| IT-0 | MT | If you have been exposed, you should consult your doctor for tests |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| IT-1 | User | If you have been exposed, you should go your doctor for tests |
|  | MT | If you have been exposed, you should consult go your doctor for tests |
| IT-2 | User | If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests |
|  | MT | If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests |
| END | User | If you have been exposed, you should go to your doctor for tests |

Interactive Machine Translation Segment-based IMT: evaluation

## Active prediction

| Corpus | Language | Segment-based |  | Segment-based with active prediction |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{IBM}_{1}$ |  | HMM |  | Random |  |
|  |  | WSR [ $\downarrow$ ] | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { WSR } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { WSR } \\ {[\downarrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { WSR } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] |
| EMEA | Fr -En | 33.6 | 21.6 | 35.1 | 23.4 | 35.5 | 22.9 | 35.7 | 22.8 |
|  | En-Fr | 41.7 | 21.7 | 41.2 | 23.3 | 41.8 | 22.5 | 41.9 | 22.0 |
|  | De-En | 31.0 | 24.4 | 30.3 | 24.3 | 30.7 | 24.6 | 30.0 | 24.1 |
|  | En-De | 35.6 | 23.1 | 35.0 | 22.6 | 35.2 | 22.6 | 34.7 | 22.6 |
| EU | Es-En | 30.5 | 16.0 | 30.7 | 17.6 | 31.2 | 17.2 | 31.0 | 17.0 |
|  | En-Es | 31.9 | 14.8 | 31.2 | 16.7 | 31.6 | 16.0 | 31.7 | 15.8 |
|  | Fr -En | 26.3 | 14.4 | 26.9 | 15.7 | 27.2 | 15.5 | 27.2 | 15.4 |
|  | En-Fr | 29.4 | 12.8 | 29.4 | 13.8 | 29.6 | 13.7 | 29.6 | 13.5 |
| TED | Zh-En | 36.1 | 35.8 | 35.8 | 35.4 | 35.9 | 35.4 | 34.9 | 35.0 |
|  | En-Zh | 60.0 | 80.0 | 60.3 | 85.5 | 60.9 | 83.3 | 60.9 | 81.8 |
|  | Es-En | 31.7 | 22.9 | 32.0 | 24.7 | 32.3 | 24.4 | 32.2 | 24.2 |
|  | En-Es | 36.7 | 22.8 | 36.6 | 24.7 | 37.1 | 24.0 | 37.1 | 23.7 |
| Xerox | Es-En | 20.0 | 20.4 | 20.1 | 20.4 | 20.1 | 20.5 | 19.9 | 20.1 |
|  | En-Es | 21.9 | 14.3 | 22.3 | 15.2 | 22.6 | 14.9 | 22.6 | 14.7 |
|  | De-En | 29.2 | 26.9 | 29.3 | 26.7 | 29.2 | 26.6 | 29.0 | 26.5 |
|  | En-De | 32.7 | 23.6 | 32.1 | 22.6 | 32.3 | 22.5 | 32.0 | 22.7 |
| Europarl | Fr-En | 30.2 | 30.3 | 29.8 | 29.7 | 29.8 | 29.7 | 29.4 | 29.6 |
|  | En-Fr | 31.5 | 28.4 | 30.9 | 27.7 | 31.1 | 27.6 | 30.4 | 27.5 |
|  | De-En | 34.4 | 30.8 | 34.3 | 30.7 | 34.5 | 30.7 | 33.6 | 30.2 |
|  | En-De | 33.1 | 25.9 | 32.6 | 25.4 | 32.6 | 25.4 | 32.1 | 25.3 |

## Interactive Machine Translation Neural IMT (INMT) vs IMT

|  |  | Prefix-based |  |  |  |  |  | Segment-based |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{INMT}_{\text {RNN }}$ |  | INMT ${ }_{\text {Trans. }}$ |  | IMT |  | $\mathrm{INMT}_{\text {RNN }}$ |  | INMT ${ }_{\text {Trans. }}$ |  | IMT |  |
|  |  | WSR <br> [ $\downarrow$ ] | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | WSR <br> [ $\downarrow$ ] | MAR [ $\downarrow]$ | WSR [ $\downarrow$ ] | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | WSR <br> [ $\downarrow$ ] | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | WSR [ $\downarrow]$ | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] | WSR [ $\downarrow$ ] | MAR [ $\downarrow$ ] |
| TED | $\mathrm{Zh}-\mathrm{En}$ | 54.9 | 14.2 | 60.1 | 14.3 | 83.1 | 22.4 | 51.2 | 21.2 | 49.2 | 20.4 | 36.1 | 35.8 |
|  | En-Zh | 68.1 | 28.9 | 66.7 | 29.6 | 86.3 | 55.7 | 58.4 | 64.2 | 56.6 | 62.5 | 60.0 | 80.0 |
| Xerox | Es-En | 30.7 | 7.2 | 37.4 | 8.3 | 35.8 | 10.5 | 29.1 | 12.5 | 35.5 | 13.2 | 20.0 | 20.4 |
|  | En-Es | 28.4 | 7.3 | 32.1 | 8.0 | 28.3 | 7.9 | 22.7 | 7.5 | 30.2 | 12.7 | 21.9 | 14.3 |
|  | De-En | 38.4 | 9.4 | 42.2 | 10.0 | 62.7 | 15.1 | 35.1 | 13.3 | 39.9 | 14.1 | 29.2 | 26.9 |
|  | En -De | 55.1 | 10.8 | 56.5 | 11.2 | 68.3 | 12.6 | 50.9 | 14.9 | 54.7 | 16.0 | 32.7 | 23.6 |
|  |  |  |  | Translation quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{INMT}_{\text {RNN }}$ |  | INMT $_{\text {Trans. }}$ |  | IMT |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BLEU } \\ {[\uparrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BLEU } \\ {[\uparrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BLEU } \\ {[\uparrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | TER <br> [ $\downarrow$ ] |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | TED | Zh-En | 13.7 | 75.7 | 11.5 | 76.7 | 11.7 | 76.2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | En-Zh | 9.3 | 76.7 | 8.2 | 77.6 | 8.7 | 83.3 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Xerox | Es-En | 59.0 | 28.6 | 53.9 | 32.1 | 52.2 | 31.8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | En-Es | 63.5 | 27.5 | 60.5 | 28.3 | 60.8 | 27.3 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | De-En | 36.2 | 51.1 | 31.3 | 54.9 | 32.2 | 54.6 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | En-De | 25.4 | 63.0 | 23.2 | 64.3 | 24.1 | 64.5 |  |  |  |  |

## Language Modernization

## Evaluation: scholars

| Scholar | SMT approach |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fluency | Lexical meaning | Syntax | Semantic | Modernization |
| Scholar $_{1}$ | 5.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 3.9 |
| Scholar $_{2}$ | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| Scholar $_{3}$ | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| Scholar $_{4}$ | 4.5 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.0 |
| Average | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Enriched NMT $_{\text {LSTM }}$ | approach |  |  |  |
|  | Fluency | Lexical meaning | Syntax $^{\text {manyyy}}$ | Semantic | Modernization |
| Scholar $_{1}$ | 4.8 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.0 |
| Scholar $_{2}$ | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Scholar $_{3}$ | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| Scholar $_{4}$ | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Average | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.2 |

## Language Modernization Evaluation: non-experts

## Select the sentence which is easier for you to read and comprehend:

O Riose don Quixote, y pidio que quitassen otro lieno, debaxo del qual se descubrio la imagen del patron de las Españas a cauallo, la espada ensangrentada, atropellando moros y pisando cabeças, $y$, en viendola, dixo don Quixote:

O Se rió don Quijote, y pidió que quitasen otro lienzo, debajo del cual se descubrió la imagen del patrón de las Españas a caballo, la espada ensangrentada, atropellando moros y pisando cabezas y viéndola, dijo don Quijote:Indifferent.Both sentences do not have the same meaning.

## Spelling Normalization <br> Main approaches

| System | Entremeses y Comedias |  |  | Quijote |  |  | Bohorič |  |  | Gaj |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BLEU} \\ {[\uparrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BLEU} \\ {[\uparrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BLEU} \\ {[\uparrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BLEU} \\ {[\uparrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Baseline | 8.1 | 28.0 | 47.0 | 7.9 | 19.5 | 59.4 | 21.7 | 49.0 | 18.0 | 3.5 | 12.3 | 72.6 |
| SD | 7.8 | 18.9 | 66.8 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 89.3 | 16.2 | 20.7 | 56.1 | 7.6 | 8.8 | 79.8 |
| SMT | 6.7 | 8.0 | 82.1 | $5.3{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 4.5 | 91.1 | 9.0 | 15.1 | 63.0 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 82.6 |
| $\mathrm{NMT}_{\text {LSTM }}$ | 18.0 | 15.2 | 72.2 | 10.2 | 8.1 | 84.4 | 41.4 | 33.9 | 36.7 | 36.0 | 28.3 | 50.4 |
| $\mathrm{NMT}_{\text {Trans. }}$ | 27.5 | 43.9 | 34.3 | $5.5^{\ddagger}$ | 18.5 | 60.6 | 43.2 | 66.4 | 12.6 | 12.0 | 18.4 | 68.8 |
| CBSMT | $1.3{ }^{\dagger}$ | 4.4 | 91.7 | $2.5{ }^{\dagger}$ | $3.0{ }^{\dagger}$ | $94.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | 2.4 | 8.7 | 80.4 | 1.4 | 5.1 | 88.3 |
| $\mathrm{CBNMT}_{\text {LSTM }}$ | $1.7{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 12.0 | 82.7 | 2.7 | $4.3{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 93.3 ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | 29.4 | 39.5 | 48.7 | 31.5 | 36.9 | 53.1 |
| En. CBNMT ${ }_{\text {LSTM }}$ | $1.7{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 13.3 | 79.4 | $2.2{ }^{\dagger}$ | $4.0{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 93.2 ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | 28.6 | 38.3 | 49.5 | 30.5 | 35.4 | 54.9 |
| $\mathrm{CBNMT}_{\text {Trans. }}$ | $1.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | 6.1 | 88.0 | $1.9{ }^{\dagger}$ | $3.3{ }^{\dagger}$ | 93.9 ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $26.2^{\dagger}$ | $30.6{ }^{\dagger}$ | $60.0{ }^{\dagger}$ | $29.9{ }^{\dagger}$ | $32.1{ }^{\dagger}$ | $60.0^{\dagger}$ |
| En. CBNMT $_{\text {Trans. }}$ | $1.1{ }^{\dagger}$ | 5.1 | 89.7 | $2.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | 5.1 | 89.7 | $25.7{ }^{\dagger}$ | $29.8{ }^{\dagger}$ | $60.8{ }^{\dagger}$ | $30.0{ }^{\dagger}$ | $32.0{ }^{\dagger}$ | $60.2^{\dagger}$ |

All results are significantly different between all approaches except those denoted with ${ }^{\dagger}$ and $\ddagger$ (respectively).

## Spelling Normalization Additional CBNMT approaches

| System | Entremeses y Comedias |  |  | Quijote |  |  | Bohorič |  |  | Gaj |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BLEU} \\ {[\uparrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BLEU} \\ {[\uparrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BLEU} \\ {[\uparrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TER } \\ {[\downarrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BLEU} \\ {[\uparrow]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{CBNMT}_{\text {LSTM }}$ | $1.7{ }^{\dagger}$ | 12.0 | 82.7 | $2.7{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $4.3{ }^{\dagger}$ | $93.3{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $29.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | $39.5{ }^{\dagger}$ | 48.7 | $31.5{ }^{\dagger}$ | 36.9 | 53.1 |
| SubChar ${ }_{\text {LSTM }}$ | 23.3 | 32.8 | 54.1 | $2.2{ }^{\dagger}$ | $3.7{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $93.8{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 36.7 | 47.7 | 39.4 | 32.7 | $37.3{ }^{\dagger}$ | $52.4{ }^{\dagger}$ |
| CharSub ${ }_{\text {LSTM }}$ | 5.8 | 18.2 | 75.2 | 3.7 | 5.8 | 89.8 | 67.9 | 83.8 | 5.3 | 37.2 | 48.1 | 36.3 |
| En. $\mathrm{CBNMT}_{\text {LSTM }}$ | $1.7{ }^{\dagger}$ | 13.3 | $79.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | $2.2{ }^{\dagger}$ | $4.0 \dagger$ | $93.2{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $28.6{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 38.3 | 49.5 | $30.5{ }^{\dagger}$ | $35.4{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $54.9{ }^{\ddagger}$ |
| En. SubChar ${ }_{\text {LSTM }}$ | 37.8 | 35.8 | 59.3 | $2.3{ }^{\dagger}$ | 3.3 | 94.9 ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $29.5{ }^{\dagger}$ | 36.9 | 51.5 | 31.5 | $35.9{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $54.3{ }^{\ddagger}$ |
| En. CharSublstm | 3.8 | 15.2 | $78.9{ }^{\dagger}$ | $2.3{ }^{\dagger}$ | $4.1{ }^{\dagger}$ | 93.0 ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | 27.5* | $39.6{ }^{\dagger}$ | 47.2 | 29.4 | $37.2^{\dagger}$ | $52.3{ }^{\dagger}$ |
| $\mathrm{CBNMT}_{\text {Trans }}$ | $1.4{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 6.1 | 88.0 | $1.9{ }^{\dagger}$ | $3.3{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $93.9{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 26.2 | $30.6{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $60.0{ }^{\dagger}$ | 29.9 | 32.1* | 60.0* |
| SubChar ${ }_{\text {Trans }}$. | 21.2 | 33.1 | 64.8 | $2.6{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $3.7{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 93.5 ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | $28.6{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 33.4 | 55.2 | $30.9{ }^{\dagger}$ | 32.7* | 59.2* |
| CharSub ${ }_{\text {Trans }}$. | 12.2 | 42.4 | 72.1 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 91.4 | 59.1 | 68.8 | 14.9 | 9.1 | 11.6 | 79.1 |
| En. $\mathrm{CBNMT}_{\text {Trans. }}$ | $1.1{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 5.1 | 89.7 | $2.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | 5.1 | 89.7 | 25.7 | $29.8{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $60.8{ }^{\dagger}$ | $30.0{ }^{\dagger}$ | 32.0* | $60.2^{\star}$ |
| En. SubChar ${ }_{\text {Trans. }}$ | 43.2 | 56.5 | 66.4 | $2.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | 3.2 | $94.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | 27.3* | 31.8 | 57.8 | $30.6{ }^{\dagger}$ | 32.6* | 59.1* |
| En. CharSub ${ }_{\text {Trans. }}$ | 11.9 | 41.8 | 72.5 | $2.4{ }^{\dagger}$ | $3.5{ }^{\ddagger}$ | 93.9 ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | 8.8 | 11.5 | 79.3 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 87.2 |

All results are significantly different between all approaches except those denoted with ${ }^{\dagger}$, $\ddagger$ and * (respectively).


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Stephan Vogel et al. (1996). "HMM-based Word Alignment in Statistical Translation". In: Proceedings of the Conference on Computational Linguistics. Vol. 2, pp. 836-841.

